Branching fractions of $B_{(c)}$ decays involving J/ψ and $X(3872)$

Y.K. Hsiao and C.Q. Geng

Chongqing University of Posts & Telecommunications, Chongqing, 400065, China

Physics Division, National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Hsinchu, Taiwan 300

Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan 300

(Dated: July 12, 2016)

arXiv:1607.02718v1 [hep-ph] 10 Jul 2016

Abstract

We study two-body $B_{(c)} \to M_c(\pi, K)$ and semileptonic $B_c \to M_c \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays with $M_c = (J/\psi, X_c^0)$, where $X_c^0 \equiv X^0(3872)$ is regarded as the tetraquark state of $c\bar{c}u\bar{u}(d\bar{d})$. With the decay constant $f_{X_c^0} = (234 \pm 52)$ MeV determined from the data, we predict that $\mathcal{B}(B^- \to X_c^0 \pi^-) = (11.5 \pm 5.7) \times 10^{-6}$, $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to X_c^0 \bar{K}^0) = (2.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$, and $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s^0 \to X_c^0 \bar{K}^0) = (11.4 \pm 5.6) \times 10^{-6}$. With the form factors in QCD models, we calculate that $\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to X_c^0 \pi^-, X_c^0 K^-) = (6.0 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-5}$ and $(4.7 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-6}$, and $\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu, X_c^0 \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu) = (2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$ and $(1.35 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$, respectively, and extract the ratio of the fragmentation fractions to be $f_c/f_u = (6.4 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-3}$.

I. INTRODUCTION

Through the $b \to c\bar{c}d(s)$ transition at quark level, the B decays are able to produce the $c\bar{c}$ bound states like J/ψ; particularly, the hidden charm tetraquarks to consist of $c\bar{c}q\bar{q}'$, such as $X^0(3872)$, $Y(4140)$, and $Z_c^+(4430)$, known as the XYZ states [1]. For example, we have [2, 3]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}(B^- \to J/\psi K^-) &= (1.026 \pm 0.031) \times 10^{-3}\,,\\ \mathcal{B}(B^- \to X_c^0 K^-) &= (2.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $X_c^0 \equiv X^0(3872)$ is composed of $c\bar{c}u\bar{u}(d\bar{d})$, measured to have the quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$. On the other hand, the B_c^- decays from the $b \to c\bar{u}d(s)$ transition can also be the relevant production mechanism for the $c\bar{c}$ and $c\bar{c}q\bar{q}'$ bound states. However, the current measurements have been done only for the ratios, given by [4, 5]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_{c/u} &\equiv \frac{f_c \mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi\pi^-)}{f_u \mathcal{B}(B^- \to J/\psi K^-)} = (0.68 \pm 0.12)\%\,,\\ \mathcal{R}_{K/\pi} &\equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi K^-)}{\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi\pi^-)} = 0.069 \pm 0.020\,,\\ \mathcal{R}_{\pi/\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu} &\equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi\pi^-)}{\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu)} = (4.69 \pm 0.54)\%\,,\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $f_{c,u}$ are the fragmentation fractions defined by $f_i \equiv \mathcal{B}(b \to B_i)$. In addition, none of the XYZ states has been observed in the B_c decays yet.

From Figs. 1a and 1d, the $B \to M_c M$ decays proceed with the $B \to M$ transition, which is followed by the recoiled $M_c = (J/\psi, X_c^0)$ with $J^{PC} = (1^{--,++})$, respectively, presented as the matrix elements of $\langle M_c|\bar{c}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)c|0\rangle$. Unlike J/ψ as the genuine $c\bar{c}$ bound state, while the matrix element for the tetraquark production is in fact not computable, X_c^0 is often taken as the charmonium state in the QCD models [6–8]. In this study, we will extract $\langle X_c^0|\bar{c}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)c|0\rangle$ from the data of $\mathcal{B}(B^- \to X_c^0 K^-)$ in Eq. (1) to examine the decays of $B^- \to X_c^0(\pi^-, K^-)$, $\bar{B}^0 \to X_c^0(\pi^-, K^-)$, and $\bar{B}_s^0 \to X_c^0 K^-$, of which the extraction allows X_c^0 to be the tetraquark state. On the other hand, to calculate the $B_c^- \to (J/\psi, X_c^0)M$ decays in Figs. 1b and 1e and the semileptonic $B_c^- \to (J/\psi, X_c^0)\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays in Figs. 1c and 1f, we use the $B_c \to M_c$ transition matrix elements from the QCD calculations.



FIG. 1. Diagrams for the B and B_c decays with the formations of the $c\bar{c}$ pair, where (a), (b) and (c) correspond to the $B \to X_c^0 M$, $B_c^- \to X_c^0 M$, and $B_c^- \to X_c^0 \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays, while (d), (e) and (f) the $B \to J/\psi M$, $B_c^- \to J/\psi M$, and $B_c^- \to J/\psi \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays, respectively.

II. FORMALISM

In terms of the effective Hamiltonians at quark level for the $b \to c\bar{c}q$, $b \to c\bar{u}q$, and $b \to c\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ transitions in Fig. 1, the amplitudes of the $B_c^- \to M_c M$, $B \to M_c M$, and $B_c^- \to M_c \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays can be factorized as [9]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(B_c^- \to M_c M) &= i\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} V_{uq}^* a_1 f_M \langle M_c | \bar{c} \not{q} (1-\gamma_5) b | B_c^- \rangle \,, \\
\mathcal{A}(B \to M_c M) &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} V_{cq}^* a_2 m_{M_c} f_{M_c} \langle M | \bar{q} \not{\varepsilon}^* (1-\gamma_5) b | B \rangle \,, \\
\mathcal{A}(B_c^- \to M_c \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) &= \frac{G_F V_{cb}}{\sqrt{2}} \langle M_c | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu (1-\gamma_5) b | B_c^- \rangle \bar{\ell} \gamma^\mu (1-\gamma_5) \nu_\ell \,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

respectively, where $\not{q} = q^\mu \gamma_\mu$, $\not{\varepsilon}^* = \varepsilon^{\mu *} \gamma_\mu$, $q = d(s)$ for $M = \pi^-(K^-)$, $M_c = (J/\psi, X_c^0)$, $\ell = (e^-, \mu^-, \tau^-)$, G_F is the Fermi constant, V_{ij} are the CKM matrix elements, and $a_{1,2}$ are the parameters to be determined. In Eq. (3), the decay constant, four momentum vector, and four polarization $(f_{M_{(c)}}, q^\mu, \varepsilon^{\mu *})$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle M | \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 u | 0 \rangle &= -i f_M q^\mu \,, \\
\langle J/\psi | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu c | 0 \rangle &= m_{J/\psi} f_{J/\psi} \varepsilon_\mu^* \,, \\
\langle X_c^0 | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 c | 0 \rangle &= m_{X_c^0} f_{X_c^0} \varepsilon_\mu^* \,,
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

while the matrix elements of the $B \to (M, J/\psi, X_c^0)$ transitions can be parametrized as [8]

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle M|\bar{q}\gamma^\mu b|B\rangle &= \left[(p_B+p_M)^\mu - \frac{m_B^2-m_M^2}{t}q^\mu\right]F_1^{BM}(t) + \frac{m_B^2-m_M^2}{t}q^\mu F_0^{BM}(t)\,,\\
\langle J/\psi|\bar{c}\gamma_\mu b|B_c^-\rangle &= \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\varepsilon^{*\nu}p_{B_c}^\alpha p_{J/\psi}^\beta\frac{2V(t)}{m_{B_c}+m_{J/\psi}}\,,\\
\langle J/\psi|\bar{c}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 b|B_c^-\rangle &= i\left[\varepsilon_\mu^* - \frac{\varepsilon^*\cdot q}{t}q_\mu\right](m_{B_c}+m_{J/\psi})A_1(t) + i\frac{\varepsilon^*\cdot q}{t}q_\mu(2m_{J/\psi})A_0(t)\\
&\quad - i\left[(p_{B_c}+p_{J/\psi})_\mu - \frac{m_{B_c}^2-m_{J/\psi}^2}{t}q_\mu\right](\varepsilon^*\cdot q)\frac{A_2(t)}{m_B+m_{J/\psi}}\,,\\
\langle X_c^0|\bar{c}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 b|B_c^-\rangle &= -\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\varepsilon^{*\nu}p_{B_c}^\alpha p_{X_c^0}^\beta\frac{2iA(t)}{m_{B_c}-m_{X_c^0}}\,,\\
\langle X_c^0|\bar{c}\gamma_\mu b|B_c^-\rangle &= -\left[\varepsilon_\mu^* - \frac{\varepsilon^*\cdot q}{t}q_\mu\right](m_{B_c}-m_{X_c^0})V_1(t) - \frac{\varepsilon^*\cdot q}{t}q_\mu(2m_{X_c^0})V_0(t)\\
&\quad + \left[(p_{B_c}+p_{X_c^0})_\mu - \frac{m_{B_c}^2-m_{X_c^0}^2}{t}q_\mu\right](\varepsilon^*\cdot q)\frac{V_2(t)}{m_B-m_{X_c^0}}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

respectively, where $q = p_B - p_{M_{(c)}}$, $t \equiv q^2$, and $(F_{1,2}, A_{(i)}, V_{(i)})$ with $i = 0, 1, 2$ are the form factors.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In our numerical analysis, we use the Wolfenstein parameterization for the CKM matrix elements in Eq. (3), given by $V_{cb} = A\lambda^2$, $V_{ud} = V_{cs} = 1 - \lambda^2/2$, and $V_{us} = -V_{cd} = \lambda$, with [2]

$$(\lambda,\, A,\, \rho,\, \eta) = (0.225,\, 0.814,\, 0.120 \pm 0.022,\, 0.362 \pm 0.013)\,. \tag{6}$$

The parameters $a_{1,2}$, decay constants and form factors, adopted from Refs. [10, 11], [2, 12], and [8, 13] are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a_1, a_2) = (1.05^{+0.12}_{-0.06}, 0.268 \pm 0.004)\,,\\
&(f_\pi, f_K, f_{J/\psi}) = (130.4 \pm 0.2, 156.2 \pm 0.7, 418 \pm 9)\ \text{MeV}\,,\\
&(F_1^{B\pi}(0), F_1^{BK}(0), F_1^{BsK}(0)) = (0.29, 0.36, 0.31)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where the form factors correspond to the reduced matrix elements derived from Eqs. (3) and (5), given by

$$\langle M|\bar{q}\not{\varepsilon} b|B\rangle = \varepsilon\cdot(p_B+p_M)F_1^{BM}\,. \tag{8}$$

TABLE I. The $B_c \to (J/\psi, X_c^0)$ form factors at $t = 0$ and $\sigma_{1,2}$ for the momentum dependences in Eq. (10).

$B_c \to (J/\psi, X_c^0)$	$f(0)$ [8]	σ_1 σ_2 [14]
(V, A)	$(0.87 \pm 0.02, 0.36 \pm 0.04)$	2.46 0.56
(A_0, V_0)	$(0.57 \pm 0.02, 0.18 \pm 0.03)$	2.39 0.50
(A_1, V_1)	$(0.55 \pm 0.03, 1.15 \pm 0.07)$	1.73 0.33
(A_2, V_2)	$(0.51 \pm 0.04, 0.13 \pm 0.02)$	2.22 0.45

The momentum dependence for $F_1^{BM}(q^2)$ from Ref. [13] is taken as

$$F_1^{BM}(t) = \frac{F_1^{BM}(0)}{(1 - \frac{t}{M_V^2})(1 - \frac{\sigma_{11} t}{M_V^2} + \frac{\sigma_{12} t^2}{M_V^4})}\,, \tag{9}$$

with $\sigma_{11} = (0.48, 0.43, 0.63)$, $\sigma_{12} = (0, 0, 0.33)$ and $M_V = (5.32, 5.42, 5.32)$ GeV for $B \to \pi$, $B \to K$ and $\bar{B}_s^0 \to K$, respectively. With $\mathcal{B}(B^- \to X_c^0 K^-)/\mathcal{B}(B^- \to J/\psi K^-) = 0.22 \pm 0.09$ from Eq. (1), we obtain $f_{X_c^0} = (234 \pm 52)$ MeV, which is lower than $f_{X_c^0} = (335, 329^{+111}_{-\ 95})$ MeV [7, 8] from perturbative and light-front QCD models, respectively. The momentum dependences for the $B_c \to M_c$ transition form factors are given by [14]

$$f(t) = f(0) \exp(\sigma_1 t/m_{B_c}^2 + \sigma_2 t^2/m_{B_c}^4)\,, \tag{10}$$

where the values of $f(0) = (V_{(i)}(0), A_{(i)}(0))$ and $\sigma_{1,2}$ in Table I are from Refs. [8] and [14], respectively. Our results for the branching ratios of $B_c^- \to J/\psi(\pi^-, K^-, \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell)$ are shown in Table II.

From Table II, we see that our numerical values of $\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi \pi^-)$ and $\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi K^-)$ are about a factor 2 smaller than those in Ref. [8], where the calculations were done

TABLE II. The branching ratios of the $B_c \to J/\psi(M, \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell)$ decays, where the first (second) errors of our results are from the form factors (a_1).

decay modes	our results	QCD models
$B_c^- \to J/\psi \pi^-$	$(10.9 \pm 0.8^{+2.6}_{-1.2}) \times 10^{-4}$	$(20^{+8+0+0}_{-7-1-0}) \times 10^{-4}$ [8]
$B_c^- \to J/\psi K^-$	$(8.8 \pm 0.6^{+2.1}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{-5}$	$(16^{+6+0+0}_{-6-1-0}) \times 10^{-5}$ [8]
$B_c^- \to J/\psi e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	$(1.94 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$	$(1.49^{+0.01+0.15+0.23}_{-0.03-0.14-0.23}) \times 10^{-2}$ [14]
$B_c^- \to J/\psi \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$	$(1.94 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$	$(1.49^{+0.01+0.15+0.23}_{-0.03-0.14-0.23}) \times 10^{-2}$ [14]
$B_c^- \to J/\psi \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau$	$(4.47 \pm 0.48) \times 10^{-3}$	$(3.70^{+0.02+0.42+0.56}_{-0.05-0.38-0.56}) \times 10^{-3}$ [14]

only by the leading-order contributions in the $1/m_{B_c}$ expansion [1]. From the table, we get that $\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi\pi^-)/\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi K^-) = 0.078\pm0.027$, which agrees with $\mathcal{R}_{K/\pi}$ in Eq. (2), demonstrating the validity of the factorization approach. By taking $\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi\pi^-)$ as the theoretical input in Eq. (2), we extract that

$$f_c/f_u = (6.4 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-3}\,, \tag{11}$$

which can be useful to determine the experimental data, such as that in Eq. (2).

For the $B \to X_c^0(\pi, K)$ decays, the results are given in Table III. While $f_{X_c^0} = (234 \pm 52)$ MeV leads to $\mathcal{B}(B^- \to X_c^0 K^-) = (2.3^{+1.1}_{-0.9} \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-4}$ in accordance with the data, we predict that $\mathcal{B}(B^- \to X_c^0\pi^-) = (11.5 \pm 5.7) \times 10^{-6}$, $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to X_c^0\bar{K}^0) = (2.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$, and $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s^0 \to X_c^0\bar{K}^0) = (11.4 \pm 5.6) \times 10^{-6}$, which are accessible to the experiments at the LHCb. Besides, our results of $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}_s^0 \to X_c^0\bar{K}^0) \simeq \mathcal{B}(B^- \to X_c^0\pi^-)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to X_c^0\pi^0) \simeq \mathcal{B}(B^- \to X_c^0\pi^-)/2$ in Table III are also supported by the $SU(3)$ and isospin symmetries, respectively. With the form factors adopted from Ref. [8], we calculate that $\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to X_c^0\pi^-) = (6.0 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-5}$ and $\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to X_c^0 K^-) = (4.7 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-6}$, which are 2-3 times smaller than the results from the same reference. The differences are again reconciled after keeping the next-leading order contributions in the $1/m_{B_c}$ expansion.

TABLE III. The branching ratios for the $B_{(c)} \to X_c^0 M$ and $B_c \to X_c^0 \ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays. For our results, the first errors come from $(f_{X_c^0}, f(0))$, while the second ones from (a_1, a_2).

decay modes	our results	QCD models
$B^- \to X_c^0\pi^-$	$(11.5^{+5.7}_{-4.5} \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-6}$	—
$B^- \to X_c^0 K^-$	$(2.3^{+1.1}_{-0.9} \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-4}$	$(7.88^{+4.87}_{-3.76}) \times 10^{-4}$ [7]
$\bar{B}^0 \to X_c^0\pi^0$	$(5.3^{+2.6}_{-2.1} \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6}$	—
$\bar{B}^0 \to X_c^0\bar{K}^0$	$(2.1^{+1.0}_{-0.8} \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-4}$	—
$\bar{B}_s^0 \to X_c^0\bar{K}^0$	$(11.4^{+5.6}_{-4.5} \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-6}$	—
$B_c^- \to X_c^0\pi^-$	$(6.0^{+2.2+1.4}_{-1.8-0.7}) \times 10^{-5}$	$(1.7^{+0.7+0.1+0.4}_{-0.6-0.2-0.4}) \times 10^{-4}$ [8]
$B_c^- \to X_c^0 K^-$	$(4.7^{+1.7+1.1}_{-1.4-0.5}) \times 10^{-6}$	$(1.3^{+0.5+0.1+0.3}_{-0.5-0.2-0.3}) \times 10^{-5}$ [8]
$B_c^- \to X_c^0 e^-\bar{\nu}_e$	$(1.35 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$	$(6.7^{+0.9+0.0+0.1+0.5+2.3+0.7}_{-0.5-0.0-0.0-0.5-2.6-0.7}) \times 10^{-3}$ [16]
$B_c^- \to X_c^0\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu$	$(1.35 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$	—
$B_c^- \to X_c^0\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau$	$(6.5 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5}$	$(3.2^{+0.5+0.0+0.0+0.2+1.1+0.4}_{-0.2-0.2-0.0-0.2-1.3-0.3}) \times 10^{-4}$ [16]

[1] We thank the authors in Ref. [8] for the useful communication.



FIG. 2. The spectra of the semileptonic (a) $B_c^- \to J/\psi \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ and (b) $B_c^- \to X_c^0 \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays, where the solid and dotted lines correspond to $\ell = (e, \mu)$ and $\ell = \tau$, respectively.

For the semileptonic $B_c^- \to M_c \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays, $\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi e \bar{\nu}_e) = \mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi \mu \bar{\nu}_\mu) = (1.94 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$ is due to the both negligible electron and muon masses, of which the numerical value is close to those from Refs. [14, 15]. Note that by taking $\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi \pi^-)$ as the theoretical input in Eq. (2), we derive that

$$\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu) = (2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2} \,, \tag{12}$$

which agrees with the above theoretical prediction. For the τ mode, which suppresses the phase space due to the heavy m_τ, we obtain $\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau) = (4.47 \pm 0.48) \times 10^{-3}$. The ratio of $\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to X_c^0 e^- \bar{\nu}_e)/\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to X_c^0 \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau) \simeq 1/20$ is close to that in Ref. [16], but $\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to X_c^0 e^- \bar{\nu}_e) = (1.35 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$ is apparently 4-5 times smaller than that in Ref. [16], though with uncertainties the two results overlap with each other. With the spectra of $B_c^- \to (J/\psi, X_c^0) \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ in Fig. 2, our results can be compared to the recent studies on the semileptonic B_c cases in Refs. [17, 18] for the XYZ states.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In sum, we have studied the $B_{(c)} \to M_c(\pi, K)$ and $B_c \to M_c \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays with $M_c = J/\psi$ and $X_c^0 \equiv X^0(3872)$. We have presented that $\mathcal{B}(B^- \to X_c^0 \pi^-, X_c^0 K^-) = (11.5 \pm 5.7) \times 10^{-6}$ and $(2.3 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$, and $\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to X_c^0 \pi^-, X_c^0 K^-) = (6.0 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-5}$ and $(4.7 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-6}$. With $\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi \pi^-) = (10.9 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-4}$ as the theoretical input, the extractions from the data have shown that $f_c/f_u = (6.4 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-3}$ and $\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to J/\psi \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu) = (2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2}$.

We have estimated $\mathcal{B}(B_c^- \to X_c^0 \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell)$ with $\ell = (e^-, \mu^-, \tau^-)$ to be $(1.35 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$, $(1.35 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$, and $(6.5 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5}$, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was supported in part by National Center for Theoretical Sciences, National Science Council (NSC-101-2112-M-007-006-MY3), and MoST (MoST-104-2112-M-007-003-MY3).

[1] For a review on the tetraquark states, see H.X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu and S.L. Zhu, Phys. Rept. **639**, 1 (2016).

[2] K.A. Olive *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Chin. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014).

[3] C.Z. Yuan [Belle Collaboration], Proceedings of the XXIX PHYSICS IN COLLISION, Kobe, Japan, 2009, arXiv: hep-ex/0910.3138.

[4] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 232001 (2012).

[5] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], JHEP **1309**, 075 (2013).

[6] C. Meng, Y.J. Gao and K.T. Chao, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 074035 (2013).

[7] X. Liu and Y. M. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C **49**, 643 (2007).

[8] W. Wang, Y.L. Shen and C.D. Lu, Eur. Phys. J. C **51**, 841 (2007).

[9] A. Ali, G. Kramer and C.D. Lu, Phys. Rev. D**58**, 094009 (1998).

[10] C.Q. Geng, Y.K. Hsiao and E. Rodrigues, arXiv:1603.05602 [hep-ph].

[11] Y.K. Hsiao and C.Q. Geng, Phys. Lett. B **757**, 47 (2016).

[12] D. Becirevic *et. al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **883**, 306 (2014).

[13] D. Melikhov and B. Stech, Phys. Rev. **D62**, 014006 (2000).

[14] W. Wang, Y.L. Shen and C.D. Lu, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 054012 (2009).

[15] T. Huang and F. Zuo, Eur. Phys. J. C **51**, 833 (2007).

[16] Y.M. Wang and C.D. Lu, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 054003 (2008).

[17] W. Wang and Q. Zhao, Phys. Lett. B **755**, 261 (2016).

[18] Z.H. Wang, Yi Zhang, T.H. Wang, Yue Jiang, G.L. Wang, arXiv:1605.09091 [hep-ph].